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#### Abstract

Rabies, a fatal neurological disease of warm-blooded animals, is endemic and occurs throughout the year in all parts of Nigeria. A cross-sectional study was carried out to assess dog owners' knowledge, attitudes, and practices following dog bites. Umuahia North and Umuahia South Local Government Areas (LGAs) were purposively selected being active urban areas. A validated well-structured questionnaire was administered to 200 dog owners by face-to-face interviews who were consenting respondents conveniently selected from the study site. Data generated were analysed with statistical significance at $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$. Out of the 200 dog owners, 172 ( $86 \%$ ) were not aware of rabies, 48 ( $24 \%$ ) were of the notion that rabies does not kill only animals and $52(26 \%)$ did not know that the virus that causes rabies is found in the nerves. One hundred and fifty (75\%) respondents knew that rabies could be spread through the saliva of a rabid animal, 9 ( $4.5 \%$ ) did not know that dogs are possible common sources of rabies in Nigeria and only 125 ( $62.5 \%$ ) knew the age at which dogs should receive the first dose of rabies vaccine. Also, a good number of them $162(80 \%)$ knew that keeping dogs that are not vaccinated against rabies is dangerous and should be avoided. One hundred and forty five ( $72.5 \%$ ) respondents agreed that dog handlers should always wear protective clothing, and $117(58.5 \%)$ accepted that it is good to wash dog bite wounds with soap and water. Age, Marital status, Occupation and Qualification were the socio-demographic variables associated with the knowledge, attitude and practice level of the respondents that were statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ). Inadequate knowledge of some aspects of rabies, negative attitudes, and practices of dog owners following dog bites are indicative of a high risk of exposure of dog owners to rabies. It is suggested that public health education targeting dog owners be implemented to increase their level of awareness of rabies.
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## INTRODUCTION

Rabies is a viral zoonotic and epizootic disease of worldwide importance that can be prevented through vaccines (Daodu \& Oluwayelu, 2016). It is caused by the neurotropic organism, rabies virus which belongs to the family Rhabdoviridae and genus Lyssavirus (WHO, 2016). The reservoir hosts for the rabies virus are jackals, foxes, raccoons, skunks, and dogs (Aiyedun et al., 2022). The common route of transmission of rabies to humans is through the bite of a rabid animal and this disease causes acute fatal encephalitis with an almost $100 \%$ case fatality rate (Ameh et al., 2014). Canine rabies remains a major socioeconomic and public health problem in developing countries, claiming the lives of an estimated 55,000 people each year (Knobel et al., 2005; Coleman et al., 2004) and it
is estimated that the number of deaths due to rabies maybe 10 times more than those reported.
The most effective mode of prevention of rabies in humans is through vaccination, both pre and post- exposure vaccinations are available (Aiyedun et al., 2022). Every year approximately 1.1 to 1.5 million people receive postexposure prophylactic treatment. In most developing countries, there is a high burden of rabies mortality despite the availability of effective human and animal rabies vaccines which may be an indication that the rabies prevention and control efforts might be inadequate (Mascie et al., 2003).
In Nigeria, there is limited data on reported rabies cases in humans which could be due to under reporting, misdiagnosis of the disease, and limited knowledge on the disease which
makes it difficult to assess its public health impact (Awoyomi et al., 2019). General poor awareness and knowledge of rabies infection are some factors that have led to the gross under-reporting of rabies cases in Nigeria and so it is important that the knowledge level of humans especially those at risk of infection in order to be able to educate and create awareness of the public health significance of this disease (Edukugho et al., 2018). The aim of this study therefore was to evaluate the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of dog owners following dog bites in Umuahia north and South, Abia state.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## STUDY AREA

Umuahia is the capital city of Abia State and represents the urban area of the State. The capital metropolis is basically made up of two LGAs; Umuahia North and Umuahia South. This study was carried out in Umuahia north and south LGA of Abia State, Nigeria.
Umuahia lies on longitude $7^{0} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Latitude $5^{0} 321 \mathrm{~N}$ in the geographical map of Nigeria and Umuahia has a total population of 359,230 as at 2006 census. Umuahia town is traditionally owned by the Ibeku after early British administrative based the town in their lands. Towns bordering it are Aba, Okigwe, Abiriba, Ohafia, and Owerri (Chidiebere et al., 2018). The major occupations of the people are civil service and trading.

## STUDY PARTICIPANTS AND SURVEY

In this study, a cross-sectional design was used was used to assess the knowledge, attitudes and practices of the participants. Two hundred (200) respondents who were dog owners were selected for this study from the selected study areas of Umuahia South and Umuahia north LGAs using convenience sampling.

## SURVEY TOOL AND QUESTIONNAIRE

The survey tool used for this study was a well-structured questionnaire. The questionnaire used for the study had different sections and was arranged so the participants could easily comprehend and was pre-tested and validated for the study. The pre-tested structured questionnaire was administered to the dog owners through face-to-face interview carried out for a period of four months. The questionnaire assessed the knowledge, attitude and practices of dog owners in relation to dog bites. The questionnaire comprised of five sections consisting of demographic information of the respondents, association of respondents with dogs, information on their knowledge, attitudes and practices to rabies.

## ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Informed consent was obtained from the respondents. They were made to understand that participation was voluntary
and there was no consequence for non-participation. All information obtained was kept confidential.

## DATA ANALYSIS

Data analysis was carried out using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software. Descriptive statistics was used to present the demographic variables. For the inferential analysis, Chi-square test was used to test for associations and logistic regression to measure associations of the variables. The significant difference was measured at $P$ value $\leq 0.05$

## RESULTS

## DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE RESPONDENTS

Out of the 200 respondents that participated in the study, 107 ( $53.5 \%$ ) were females while 93 ( $46.5 \%$ ) were males. Respondents 20 - 30 years were $85(42.5 \%)$ and 31-40 years were 45 ( $22.5 \%$ ). Respondents that were unemployed were 41 (20.5\%), 65(32.5\%) were civil servants and 36 ( $18 \%$ ) were Business men/women. Based on the qualification of respondents, 43 ( $21.5 \%$ ) had Secondary School education and the majority 137 ( $68.5 \%$ ) had Tertiary education (Table I).

## ASSOCIATION WITH DOGS

All of the $200(100 \%)$ respondents were dog owners with approximately 1 dog per household. 73 (36.5\%) of the respondents kept dogs for companionship and $88(44 \%)$ kept dogs for protection. More than half of the respondents 133 ( $66.5 \%$ ) had specifically constructed/cages for their dogs while the majority of respondents 174 ( $87 \%$ ) never allowed dogs to leave the premises. Respondents who reported that they had been previously bitten by a dog were 46 (23\%) (Table II).

## KNOWLEDGE OF RABIES

The majority of the respondents $172(86 \%)$ attested that they had heard of rabies before. One hundred and fifty-one ( $75.5 \%$ ) respondents agreed that rabies does not kill only animals, 107 ( $53 \%$ ) knew that rabies virus can be found in the nerve and $150(75 \%)$ agreed that rabies can be spread through the saliva of a rabid animal. 151 (75.5\%) knew that all dogs can be infected with rabies and can transmit the disease as well, 113 ( $56.5 \%$ ) affirmed that if a dog bites you without provocation, it is likely to be a rabid dog, and 143 ( $71.5 \%$ ) knew that all human being can be infected with rabies (Table III). Sex $\left(X^{2}=5.010\right.$, df $\left.=1, p=0.025\right)$, Occupation ( $\mathrm{X}^{2}=27.153$,df $=5, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ ), Qualification $\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}=39.332, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}=0.000\right)$ were the socio-demographic variables associated with the knowledge level of the respondents that were statistically significant (Table IV).

Table I: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

| Characteristics | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{N}=200)$ | Percentage <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age |  |  |
| $<19$ | 18 | $9 \%$ |
| $20-30$ | 85 | $42.5 \%$ |
| $31-40$ | 45 | $22.5 \%$ |
| $40-50$ | 30 | $15 \%$ |
| $>50$ | 22 | $11 \%$ |
| Sex |  |  |
| Female | 93 | $46.5 \%$ |
| Male | 107 | $53.5 \%$ |
| Marital status |  |  |
| Married | 93 | $46.5 \%$ |
| Single | 107 | $53.5 \%$ |
| Occupation |  |  |
| Unemployed | 41 | $20.5 \%$ |
| Civil Servant | 65 | $32.5 \%$ |
| Business | 36 | $18 \%$ |
| man/woman |  |  |
| Farmer | 16 | $8 \%$ |
| Hunter | 1 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Others | 42 | $20.5 \%$ |
| Qualification |  |  |
| No formal | 14 | $7 \%$ |
| education |  |  |
| Primary | 6 | $3 \%$ |
| Secondary <br> Tertiary | 43 | $21.5 \%$ |
| Religion | 137 | $68.5 \%$ |
| Christian | 200 | $100 \%$ |
| Islam | - | - |
| Others | - | - |

## ATTITUDE TOWARDS RABIES

The majority of respondents 154 (77\%) said that they do not allow stray dogs to roam freely into their compounds, almost all the respondents 192 ( $96 \%$ ) said that they would go to the hospital if bitten by a dog and 137 ( $68.5 \%$ ) agreed that children should not be allowed to play with dogs. Most of the respondents 172 ( $86 \%$ ) number of respondents affirmed that they do not play with unknown dogs, 162 ( $81 \%$ ) agreed that keeping dogs that are not vaccinated against rabies is dangerous and should be avoided while 184 (92\%) of respondents affirmed that it is right to vaccinate their dogs against rabies (Table 5). Age ( $\mathrm{X}^{2}=10.483, \mathrm{df}=4, \mathrm{p}=0.033$ ), Occupation $\left(X^{2}=11.535, \mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{p}=0.042\right)$ and Qualification $\left(X^{2}=21.273, d f=3, p=0.000\right)$ were the socio-demographic variables associated with the attitude level of the respondents that were statistically significant (Table VI).

Table II: Association of respondents with dogs

| Characteristics | Frequency <br> $(\mathbf{N}=200)$ | Percentage <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |


| Do you keep dogs? |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | 200 | $100 \%$ |
| No | - | - |
| How many dogs <br> own? <br> None |  |  |
| 1 | - | - |
| 2 | 96 | $48 \%$ |
| 3 | 54 | $27 \%$ |
| $>3$ | 31 | $15.5 \%$ |
| Why do you keep dogs? |  | $9.5 \%$ |
| For companionship | 73 | $36.5 \%$ |
| For protection | 88 | $44 \%$ |
| For hunting | 9 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Others | 30 | $15 \%$ |


| For how long have you been keeping dogs? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 years | 115 | 57.5\% |
| 6-10 years | 48 | 24\% |
| 11-15 years | 23 | 11.5\% |
| Others | 14 | 7\% |
| How are the dogs in your previews housed? |  |  |
| Specially constructed house/cage | 133 | 66.5\% |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { On } \\ \text { passageway/corridor } & \text { house }\end{array}$ | 29 | 14.5\% |
| Anywhere on the premises | 38 | 19\% |
| How do you control your dogs' movement? |  |  |
| Never allowed to leave the premises | 174 | 87\% |
| Allowed to roam freely on the neighbourhood | 26 | 13\% |

Have you ever been bitten by
a dog?

| Yes | 46 | $23 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 154 | $77 \%$ |

## Practices towards rabies

The majority of the respondents 141 (70.5\%) advised that dog handlers should receive human anti-rabies vaccine,

Table II: Knowledge of the respondents on Rabies

| Characteristics | Frequency $(\mathrm{N}=200)$ | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have you heard of rabies before? Yes No | $\begin{aligned} & 172 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & 14 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Rabies kills only animals <br> Yes <br> No <br> Unanswered | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 151 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & 75.5 \% \\ & 0.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| The virus that cause rabies is found in the nerve <br> Yes <br> No <br> Unanswered | $\begin{aligned} & 107 \\ & 52 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.5 \% \\ & 26 \% \\ & 20.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Rabies can be spread through the saliva of a rabid animal <br> Yes <br> No <br> Unanswered | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 28 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & 14 \% \\ & 11 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| All dogs can be infected with rabies and can transmit the disease as well Yes <br> No <br> No idea <br> Unanswered | $\begin{aligned} & 151 \\ & 15 \\ & 33 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.5 \% \\ & 7.5 \% \\ & 16.5 \% \\ & 0.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Dogs are the possible common sources of rabies in Nigeria Yes No <br> No idea <br> Unanswered | $\begin{aligned} & 160 \\ & 9 \\ & 28 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & 4.5 \% \\ & 14 \% \\ & 1.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| If a dog bites you without provocation, it is likely to be a rabid dog <br> Yes <br> No <br> No idea | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 30 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.5 \% \\ & 15 \% \\ & 28.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| All human beings can be infected with rabies <br> Yes <br> No <br> Unanswered | $\begin{aligned} & 143 \\ & 45 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5 \% \\ & 22.5 \% \\ & 6 \% \end{aligned}$ |

Bites from an infected animal cannot spread rabies to other animals

| Yes | 50 | $25 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 73 | $36.5 \%$ |
| No idea | 75 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Unanswered | 2 | $1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| At what age should dogs receive the |  |  |
| first dose of rabies vaccine? |  |  |
| 3 months | 125 | $62.5 \%$ |
| 9 months | 5 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Don't know | 32 | $16 \%$ |
| Unanswered | 2 | $1 \%$ |

An infected human being can transmit rabies to one another.

| Yes | 83 | 41.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 38 | 19\% |
| 6 months | 36 | 18\% |
| No idea | 77 | 38\% |
| Unanswered | 2 | 1\% |
| A friendly dog that suddenly turns aggressive can be rabid. |  |  |
| Yes | 116 | 58\% |
| No | 26 | 13\% |
| No idea | 56 | 28\% |
| Unanswered | 2 | 1\% |
| A man/woman that has rabies may not like to drink water. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Yes | 80 | 40\% |
| No | 17 | 8.5\% |
| Don't know | 101 | 50.5\% |
| Unanswered | 2 | 1\% |
| Excessive foamy salivation and tendency to bite anything are signs of rabies |  |  |
| Yes | 103 | 51.5\% |
| No | 14 | 7\% |
| No idea | 79 | 39.5\% |
| Unanswered | 4 | 2\% |
| Dog registration and licensing helps in the control of rabies. |  |  |
| Yes | 172 | 86\% |
| No | 18 | 9\% |
| Unanswered | 10 | 5\% |
| Vaccination of dogs against rabies should be repeated every year. |  |  |
| Yes | 163 | 81.5\% |
| No | 6 | 3\% |
| Don't know | 26 | 13\% |
| Unanswered | 5 | 2.5\% |
| Can contact with a sick dog (mad dog) cause danger to your health? |  |  |
| Yes | 180 | 90\% |
| No | 16 | 8\% |
| Unanswered | 4 | 2\% |

145(72.5\%) said that dog handlers should always wear protective clothing, and 117 (58.5\%) said that it was good to wash dog bite wounds with soap and water (Table VII). Age ( $\mathrm{X} 2=10.483, \mathrm{df}=4, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ ), Marital status ( $\mathrm{X} 2=$ 17.591, $\mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.000$ ) , Occupation ( $\mathrm{X} 2=30.645, \mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{p}$ $=0.000$ ) and Qualification $(\mathrm{X} 2=34.523, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}=0.000)$ were the socio-demographic variables associated with the

## DISCUSSION

The dog owners in this study showed an acceptable level of knowledge of rabies from dog bites. Most of the respondents had heard of rabies previously and were more likely to have vaccinated dog due to awareness of its fatal nature if left
untreated. The response on rabies knowledge is also consistent with the studies done by Sambo, (2012) in Tanzania, Kongkaew et al., (2004) in Thailand, and Matibag et al., (2009) in Sri Lanka where $96 \%, 93 \%$, and $95 \%$ respectively of the respondents knew of rabies. There was a statistically significant association between sex, education,

Table IV: Socio-demographic predictors of Knowledge level of the respondents

| Variable | Good | Poor | X2 | Df | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P}- \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| <I9 | 11 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 20-30 | 51 | 34 |  |  |  |
| 31-40 | 28 | 17 | 7.528 | 4 | 0.110 |
| 40-50 | 17 | 13 |  |  |  |
| $>50$ | 13 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 48 | 45 | 5.010 | 1 | 0.025* |
| Male | 59 | 48 |  |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | 63 | 44 | 2.308 | 1 | 0.129 |
| Married | 59 | 34 |  |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed | 24 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Civil Servant | 34 | 31 |  |  |  |
| Business man/woman | 22 | 14 | 27.153 | 5 | 0.000* |
| Farmer | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Hunters | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Others | 22 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |
| No formal education | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Primary | 4 | 2 | 39.332 | 3 | 0.000* |
| Secondary | 26 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Tertiary | 86 | 51 |  |  |  |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |
| Christian | 124 | 76 | 0.291 | 1 | 0.590 |

occupation to the level of knowledge of the respondents. This is in agreement with the findings of Isek, (2013) and Ameh et al. (2014). This is probably due to awareness and access to information by the respondents on rabies and the importance of dog vaccination. This could also be attributed to the fact that almost half of the respondents were between the ages of 20-30. This is in agreement with the study of Ameh et al. (2014) who reported that owners within this age group were more likely to be interested in keeping dogs and
their management and thus would have a higher knowledge of rabies. Also, more than half of the respondents 107 (53.5\%) were male, this finding is in agreement with the studies done by Edukugho, (2014), Ameh et al., (2014) and Aiyedun et al., (2022). This could be due to the fact that males are more likely to own up to having dogs than females (Aiyedun et al., 2022).

Table V: Attitudes of the respondents

| Characteristics | Frequency $(\mathrm{N}=200)$ | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| It is good to nurse an unknown sick dog. |  |  |
| Yes | 33 | 16.5\% |
| No | 129 | 64.5\% |
| Undecided | 36 | 18\% |
| Unanswered | 2 | 1\% |
| I do not allow stray dogs to roam freely in my compound. |  |  |
| Yes | 154 | 77\% |
| No | 22 | 11\% |
| Undecided | 20 | 10\% |
| Unanswered | 4 | 2\% |
| If I am bitten by a dog, I will go to the hospital |  |  |
| Yes | 192 | 96\% |
| No | 8 | 4\% |
| Children should not be allowed to play with dogs |  |  |
| Yes | 137 | 68.5\% |
| No | 60 | 30\% |
| Unanswered | 3 | 1.5\% |
| It is inhumane/bad to confine your dogs |  |  |
| Yes | 69 | 34.5\% |
| No | 95 | 47.5\% |
| Undecided | 34 | 17\% |
| Unanswered | 2 | 1\% |
| I do not play with unknown dogs |  |  |
| Yes | 172 | 86\% |
| No | 14 | 7\% |
| Undecided | 14 | 7\% |
| Keeping dogs that are not vaccinated against rabies is dangerous and should be avoided Yes | 162 | 81\% |
| No | 15 | 7.5\% |
| Undecided | 22 | 11\% |
| Unanswered | 1 | 0.5\% |
| It is right to vaccinate my dog against rabies |  |  |
| Yes | 184 | 92\% |
| No | 11 | 5.5\% |
| Unanswered | 5 | 2.5\% |

Table VI: Socio-demographic predictors of Attitude level of the respondents

| Variable | Good | Poor | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Df | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P} \text { - } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| <I9 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 20-30 | 59 | 26 |  |  |  |
| 31-40 | 33 | 12 | 10.483 | 4 | $0.033^{*}$ |
| 40-50 | 20 | 10 |  |  |  |
| $>50$ | 16 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 64 | 29 | 0.666 | 1 | 0.414 |
| Male | 74 | 33 |  |  |  |
| Marital status Single | 73 | 34 | 2.171 | 1 | 0.141 |
| Married | 65 | 28 |  |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed | 27 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Civil <br> Servant | 69 | 16 |  |  |  |
| Business man/woman | 27 | 9 | 11.535 | 5 | $0.042^{*}$ |
| Farmer | 11 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Hunters | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Others | 24 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |
| No formal education Primary | 8 4 | 6 2 | 21.273 | 3 | $0.000^{*}$ |
| Secondary | 25 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Tertiary | 81 | 56 |  |  |  |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |
| Christian | 139 | 61 | 0.121 | 1 | 0.728 |

The responses by the respondents on the attitudes of not allowing stray dogs to roam freely into their compounds, going to the hospital if bitten by a dog, not playing with unknown dogs, the importance of vaccinating their dogs against rabies, and recognizing the danger in keeping unvaccinated dogs show good attitude towards the control of rabies. This finding agrees with the studies done by Gino et al. (2009), Isek, (2013) and Ameh et al. (2014). There was a statistically significant association between age, occupation qualification and attitude of the respondents to rabies.
Practices of good vaccination of dogs, the majority of the respondents indicated their willingness to vaccinate their pets. This is important because mass dog vaccination is believed to be the most effective measure for the control of the disease and the prevention of human deaths (Rine et al., 2017). This finding was consistent with results recorded in

Table VII: Practices of the respondents

| Characteristics | Frequency $(\mathrm{N}=200)$ | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| It is good to vaccinate your dogs |  |  |
| Yes | 191 | 95.5\% |
| No | 5 | 2.5\% |
| Unanswered | 4 | 2\% |
| Dog handler should always wear protective clothing |  |  |
| Yes | 145 | 72.5\% |
| No | 19 | 9.5\% |
| Undecided | 36 | 18\% |
| It is good to wash dog bite |  |  |
| wound with soap and water |  |  |
| Yes | 117 | 58.5\% |
| No | 29 | 14.5\% |
| Undecided | 51 | 25.5\% |
| Unanswered | 3 | 1.5\% |
| Dog handlers should receive human antirabies |  |  |
| Yes | 141 | 70.5\% |
| No | 13 | 6.5\% |
| Undecided | 46 | 23\% |

Table VIII: Socio-demographic predictors of Practice level of the respondents

| Variable | Good | Poor | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Df | Pvalue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| <19 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 20-30 | 69 | 16 |  |  |  |
| 31-40 | 35 | 10 | 32.028 | 4 | $0.000^{*}$ |
| 40-50 | 18 | 12 |  |  |  |
| $>50$ | 12 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 64 | 29 | 3.837 | 1 | 0.05 |
| Male | 75 | 32 |  |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | 85 | 22 | 17.591 | 1 | $0.000^{*}$ |
| Married | 54 | 39 |  |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed | 31 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Civil Servant | 44 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Business man/woman | 25 | 11 | 30.645 | 5 | $0.000^{*}$ |
| Farmer | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Hunters | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Others | 34 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Qualification |  |  |  |  |  |
| No formal education | 5 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Primary | 3 | 3 | 34.523 | 3 | $0.000{ }^{*}$ |
| Secondary | 28 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Tertiary | 77 | 30 |  |  |  |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |
| Christian | 139 | 61 | 0.211 | 1 | 0.646 |

Sri Lanka in which the majority of the participants were in favour of rabies control programs that mainly focused on stray dog population control (Gino et al., (2009). Also, good practices of vaccination of dogs, advising dog handlers to wear protective clothing and take human anti-rabies vaccine, and washing of dog bite wounds with soap and water are indicators that the community is involved in the control of the disease (Ameh et al., 2014). Good practices of washing dog bite wounds with soap and water are in accordance with the WHO recommendation of instituting medical treatment for victims of dog bites. These positive practices may be a result of adequate awareness of the possible dangers of rabies. The level of practice towards rabies preventive measures among the participants of this study was satisfactory.

## CONCLUSION

Overall, the results from this study showed that the respondents had a good level of knowledge, attitude, and practices towards rabies. This means that the respondents in the study location have been exposed to awareness of this disease and its public health significance. Nevertheless, continuous education and awareness on rabies are still required especially for dog owners and others who come in contact with dogs frequently since rabies is a fatal disease and remains endemic in Nigeria.
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